The A. F. of L. Weekly News Service gives a brief summary of important matters affecting labor, occurring in the industrial, legislative and judicial fields, and such other information that will benefit the trade union movement.

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR

WEEKLY NEWS SERVICE

Entered as second-class matter September 20, 1912, at the Post Office at Washington, D. C., under the Act of August 24, 1912. Acceptance for mailing at special rate of postage provided for in Sec. 1103, Act of October 3, 1917, authorized July 11, 1918.

AGITATE ORGANIZE EDUCATE

WASHINGTON, D. C., SATURDAY, DECEMBER 4, 1926.

VOL. 16, NO. 38. WHOLE NO. 817.

POLICE THIRD DEGREE INFLICTED ON LABOR

San Francisco, Dec. 4.—The widely advertised arrest of several striking carpenters on charges that they assaulted non-unionists is a frame-up.

Two of the accused make affidavit that they signed affidavits of guilt after they had been "beaten unmercifully by the police," and threatened "with worse than that" if they did not "come clean."

Other charges made against the police are:

That they were threatened with treatment by a "real wrecking crew" in the Hall of Justice basement unless they confessed.

That they were promised probation if they confessed.

That they were not allowed to see members of their families.

That they were denied legal counsel.

That the police offered them all sorts of favors if they would "come clean."

That they were knocked down and unmercifully beaten.

That they feared they would be beaten to death unless they signed a "confession."

President Frank C. MacDonald of the State Building Trades Council has asked Mayor Rolph to order an investigation of the charges of police brutality.

"Organized labor will not stand for men being framed, beaten and railroaded to prison on criminally forced and false confessions," President MacDonald said.

BUILDING CRAFTSMEN REPULSE UNION FOES

Chicago, Dec. 4.—The Landis award, that the anti-union Citizen's Committee has for several years attempted to force on organized building craftsmen, has been given its death blow.

Through an agreement with the Building Construction Employers' Association and the Building Trades Council, the leading contractors of this city have pledged to employ only trade unionists.

The Citizen's Committee, backed by powerful interests, tried to block the agreement and used bulldozing tactics to induce contractors to adhere to the Landis award.

"The bankers, particularly the mortgage bankers, threatened contractors with a financial boycott unless they adhered to mandates of the Citizen's Committee," said the Federation News, published by the Chicago Federation of Labor.

"Such propaganda had its effect. Unskilled workers were rounded up to fill the places of union mechanics. This proved a costly investment, as the imported men were less skilled and less reliable than men whose homes were here. Gradually the contractors began to break from the Citizen's Committee. A number of successful strikes were called in the Loop district against large contractors who operated under the Landis plan. From that time the fate of the Citizen's Committee was sealed."

Vessel Owners' Blacklist Scheme Outlawed By U. S. High Court

Washington, Dec. 4.—The United States Supreme Court has ruled that employment offices maintained by Pacific Coast anti-union shipping associations interfere with interstate commerce and violate the anti-trust act.

The decision outlaws blacklisting of union seamen, carried on by these associations, through employment offices.

The case came before the court on a writ of certiorari by Cornelius Anderson, suing in behalf of the International Seamen's Union. The Supreme Court condemned the employment offices and remanded the case to the lower court "for further proceedings in conformity with this opinion."

The ship owners built the employment of seamen out of the hands of their captains and placed all power in employment offices. Seamen were compelled to register in these offices, where they received a certificate which they were obliged to carry in order to secure employment. Through this system the ship owners selected those whom they could control and blacklisted others. The most efficient seamen were driven out of the service.

When a registered seaman's turn came, he had to take the employment offered or none, whether it was suited to his qualifications or whether he wished to engage on that particular vessel. Officers of vessels were deprived of the right to select men in whom they deemed most desirable, and who would assure safety at sea.

Without a compliance of the employment office regulations no seaman could be employed on any vessel owned or operated by members of the associations.

The court was unanimous in its opposition to the shipping employment offices. Associate Justice Sutherland in delivering the opinion of the court said:

"The case before the court is not unlike that which was presented in the Danbury Hatters' case. There the defendants were combining to prevent the sale in interstate commerce of hats manufactured by the plaintiffs. Here the defendants are combining to prevent the employment in interstate commerce of seamen who are members of the plaintiff union. In the Danbury Hatters' case the purpose was to prevent the sale of hats to dealers who were willing to buy them. Here the purpose is to prevent the employment of seamen by owners who are willing to employ them. In the Danbury Hatters' case the means employed were boycott, coercion and intimidation. Here the means employed are the refusal to furnish seamen except upon certain conditions. In the Danbury Hatters' case the immediate result was to prevent the transportation in interstate commerce of the hats. Here the immediate result is to prevent the transportation in interstate commerce of the seamen. In the Danbury Hatters' case the ultimate result was to restrain the trade in hats. Here the ultimate result is to restrain the trade in seamen's services. In the Danbury Hatters' case the defendants were combining to restrain trade in hats. Here the defendants are combining to restrain